



THE PREMIER CONFERENCE & EXHIBITION ON COMPUTER GRAPHICS & INTERACTIVE TECHNIQUES

SOMIGLIANA COORDINATES AN ELASTICITY-DERIVED APPROACH FOR CAGE DEFORMATION

JIONG CHEN, ECOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FERNANDO DE GOES, PIXAR ANIMATION STUDIOS MATHIEU DESBRUN, INRIA







© 2023 SIGGRAPH. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

REAL-TIME MESH DEFORMATION

• Sculpting brushes using fundamental solutions of elasticity

- based on regularized Kelvinlets





REAL-TIME MESH DEFORMATION

- Sculpting brushes using fundamental solutions of elasticity
 - based on regularized Kelvinlets
 - Meshfree, control of volume change, and extremely fast









- Sculpting brushes using fundamental solutions of elasticity
 - based on regularized Kelvinlets
 - Meshfree, control of volume change, and extremely fast
 - Unaware of boundaries!

Multiscale regularization [de Goes



Sharp fall-off [de Goes and James 2019]







- Cage deformer
 - based on generalized barycentric coordinates





- Cage deformer
 - based on generalized barycentric coordinates







- Cage deformer
 - based on generalized barycentric coordinates







- Cage deformer
 - based on generalized barycentric coordinates







- Cage deformer
 - based on generalized barycentric coordinates





- Cage deformer •
 - based on generalized barycentric coordinates
 - many options available now •







Mean-value coords [Floater 2003; Ju et al. 2005; Thiery et al. 2018]



Maximum entropy coords [Hormann and Sukumar 2008]



Harmonic coords [Joshi et al. 2007]



Complex coords [Weber et al. 2009]



Harmonic coords [Joshi et al. 2007]



Complex coords [Weber et al. 2009]



[Hormann and Sukumar 2008]



Maximum entropy coords





REAL-TIME MESH DEFORMATION \rightarrow

1/3



- Cage deformer
 - based on generalized barycentric coordinates
 - many options available now •
 - Boundary-aware, meshfree, extremely fast



REAL-TIME MESH DEFORMATION \rightarrow

Cage deformer

- based on generalized barycentric coordinates
 - many options available now •
- Boundary-aware, meshfree, extremely fast
- Purely geometric, no elastic feel or volume control



Maximum entropy coords [Hormann and Sukumar 2008]

Mean-value coords [Floater 2003; Ju et al. 2005; Thiery et al. 2018]



Harmonic coords [Joshi et al. 2007]



Complex coords [Weber et al. 2009]











 \boldsymbol{v}_i

REAL-TIME MESH DEFORMATION



- based on generalized barycentric coordinates
 - many options available now

 \boldsymbol{v}_i

X

Boundary-aware, meshfree, extremely fast

 \boldsymbol{n}_k

Purely geometric, no elastic feel or volume control

 $x = \sum_{i} \phi_{i}(x) v_{i} + \sum_{i} \psi_{k}(x) n_{k}$

εi

+ Green coordinates [Lipman et al. 2008] $\widetilde{x}(x) = \sum_{i} \phi_{i}(x) \widetilde{v}_{i} + \sum_{i} \psi_{k}(x) (c_{k} \widetilde{n}_{k})$





Maximum entropy coords [Hormann and Sukumar 2008]



Harmonic coords [Joshi et al. 2007]



Complex coords [Weber et al. 2009]









• Inject *elasticity* into cage deformers for fast volumetric deformation







- Inject *elasticity* into cage deformers for fast volumetric deformation
 - Matrix-valued coordinates, extending Green coordinates







- Inject *elasticity* into cage deformers for fast volumetric deformation
 - Matrix-valued coordinates, extending Green coordinates
 - Derived from linear elasticity and mimicking elastic behaviors







- Inject *elasticity* into cage deformers for fast volumetric deformation
 - Matrix-valued coordinates, extending Green coordinates
 - Derived from linear elasticity and mimicking elastic behaviors
 - Invariant under similarity transformations through corotational formulation







- Inject *elasticity* into cage deformers for fast volumetric deformation
 - Matrix-valued coordinates, extending Green coordinates
 - Derived from linear elasticity and mimicking elastic behaviors
 - Invariant under similarity transformations through corotational formulation
 - Control over volume change and local bulge











PDE: $\Delta u = 0$





PDE: $\Delta \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{0}$ Fundamental solutions: $G(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{4\pi r}, & d = 3, \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \log(r), & d = 2. \end{cases}$







PDE: $\Delta u = 0$ Fundamental solutions: $G(y, x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{4\pi r}, & d = 3, \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \log(r), & d = 2. \end{cases}$

reformulation: $u(x) = \int_{\partial \Omega} [\nabla_n G(y, x) u(y) - G(y, x) \nabla_n u(y)] d\sigma_y$





PDE: $\Delta u = 0$ Fundamental solutions: $G(y, x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{4\pi r}, & d = 3, \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \log(r), & d = 2. \end{cases}$ Boundary reformulation: $u(x) = \int_{\partial 0} \nabla_n G(y, x) u(y) - G(y, x) \nabla_n u(y)] d\sigma_y$





PDE: $\Delta \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{0}$ tal :: $G(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{4\pi r}, & d = 3, \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \log(r), & d = 2. \end{cases}$ Fundamental solutions: Boundary Boundary reformulation: $u(x) = \int_{\partial \Omega} \nabla_n G(y, x) u(y) - G(y, x) \nabla_n u(y)] d\sigma_y$ u(x) = x $\{\phi_i(\mathbf{x}), \psi_k(\mathbf{x})\} \in \mathbf{R}$



Green coordinates (GC) PDE: $\Delta \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{0}$ Δ Fundamental solutions: $G(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{4\pi r}, & d = 3, \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \log(r), & d = 2. \end{cases}$ Boundary Boundary reformulation: $u(x) = \int_{\partial \Omega} \nabla_n G(y, x) u(y) - G(y, x) \nabla_n u(y)] d\sigma_y$ u(x) = x $\{\phi_i(\mathbf{x}), \psi_k(\mathbf{x})\} \in \mathbf{R}$

Somigliana coordinates (SC)

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{u} + \frac{1}{1 - 2\nu} \nabla (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}) = \boldsymbol{0}$$



 $\{\phi_i(\mathbf{x}),\psi_k(\mathbf{x})\}\in\mathbf{R}$

Somigliana coordinates (SC)

$$\boldsymbol{u} + \frac{1}{1-2\nu} \nabla (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}) = \boldsymbol{0}$$



FROM GREEN TO SOMIGLIANA

Green coordinates (GC)

 \rightarrow

PDE:





 $\{\phi_i(\mathbf{x}), \psi_k(\mathbf{x})\} \in \mathbf{R}$



Green coordinates (GC)



Somigliana coordinates (SC)



Lord Kelvin

Carlo Somigliana



 $\{\phi_i(\mathbf{x}), \psi_k(\mathbf{x})\} \in \mathbf{R}$



Green coordinates (GC)



Somigliana coordinates (SC)



Lord Kelvin



Carlo Somigliana



 $\{T_i(\mathbf{x}), K_k(\mathbf{x})\} \in \mathbf{R}^{d \times d}$



Green coordinates (GC)



Lord Kelvin

Carlo Somialiana

Somigliana coordinates (SC)





Compute SC w.r.t. a triangulated cage

$$\begin{cases} T_i(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int_{\partial \Omega} \mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x}) \phi_i(\boldsymbol{y}) d\sigma_{\boldsymbol{y}}, & \begin{array}{c} 0 & \phi_i(\boldsymbol{y}) \\ \phi_i(\boldsymbol{y}) & 0 \\ \end{array} \\ K_k(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int_{\partial \Omega} \mathcal{K}(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x}) \psi_k(\boldsymbol{y}) d\sigma_{\boldsymbol{y}}. & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 & \psi_k(\boldsymbol{y}) \\ \end{array} \end{cases}$$





Compute SC w.r.t. a triangulated cage

$$\begin{cases} T_i(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{T}(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x}) \phi_i(\boldsymbol{y}) d\sigma_{\boldsymbol{y}}, & \begin{array}{c} 0 & \phi_i(\boldsymbol{y}) \\ 0 & \phi_i(\boldsymbol{y}) \\ \end{array} \\ K_k(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{K}(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x}) \psi_k(\boldsymbol{y}) d\sigma_{\boldsymbol{y}}. & \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 & \psi_k(\boldsymbol{y}) \\ \end{array} \end{cases}$$



SOMIGLIANA COORDINATES

Compute SC w.r.t. a triangulated cage



 $\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i} T_{i}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{v}_{i} + \sum_{k} K_{k}(\mathbf{x})(c\mathbf{n}_{k})$

T_i and K_k as matrix functions of Poisson ratio v



SOMIGLIANA COORDINATES \rightarrow

Compute SC w.r.t. a triangulated cage



\boldsymbol{n}_k $\boldsymbol{x} = \sum_{i} T_{i}(\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{v}_{i} + \sum_{k} K_{k}(\boldsymbol{x})(c\boldsymbol{n}_{k})$

T_i and K_k as matrix functions of Poisson ratio v





 $\nu = 0.0$



SOMIGLIANA COORDINATES

Compute SC w.r.t. a triangulated cage





T_i and K_k as matrix functions of Poisson ratio v $K_k(x)n_k$ $K_k(x)n_k^{\perp}$ $T_i(x)n_i$ $T_i(x)n_i^{\perp}$ $T_i(x)n_i^{\perp}$ $T_i(x)n_i^{\perp}$



SOMIGLIANA COORDINATES

Compute SC w.r.t. a triangulated cage









 $T_i(\mathbf{x})$ and $K_k(\mathbf{x})$ are not rotationally invariant



 $T_i(\mathbf{x})$ and $K_k(\mathbf{x})$ are not rotationally invariant

• Typical remedy: corotational formulation

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \left(\sum_{i} R_{i} T_{i}(\boldsymbol{x}) R_{i}^{t}\right)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i} R_{i} T_{i}(\boldsymbol{x}) R_{i}^{t} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}_{i} + \sum_{k} R_{k} K_{k}(\boldsymbol{x}) R_{k}^{t} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\tau}}_{k}\right]$$





 $T_i(\mathbf{x})$ and $K_k(\mathbf{x})$ are not rotationally invariant

• Typical remedy: corotational formulation

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}) = \left(\sum_{i} R_{i} T_{i}(\mathbf{x}) R_{i}^{t}\right)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i} R_{i} T_{i}(\mathbf{x}) R_{i}^{t} \widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_{i} + \sum_{k} R_{k} K_{k}(\mathbf{x}) R_{k}^{t} \widetilde{\mathbf{\tau}}_{k}\right]$$

$$R_{i}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_{i}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x})$$





 $T_i(\mathbf{x})$ and $K_k(\mathbf{x})$ are not rotationally invariant

• Typical remedy: corotational formulation

R;

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}) = \left(\sum_{i} R_{i} T_{i}(\mathbf{x}) R_{i}^{t}\right)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i} R_{i} T_{i}(\mathbf{x}) R_{i}^{t} \widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_{i} + \sum_{k} R_{k} K_{k}(\mathbf{x}) R_{i}^{t} \widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_{k}\right]$$

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_{i} \qquad \widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_{i} \qquad \widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_{i} \qquad \widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_{i} = \frac{\mathbf{s}_{k} R_{k} \mathbf{n}_{k}}{\left[\frac{2(1-\nu)}{1-2\nu} \eta_{k} + \frac{2\nu(d-1)}{1-2\nu} \lambda_{k}\right] R_{k} \mathbf{n}_{k}}$$





 $T_i(\mathbf{x})$ and $K_k(\mathbf{x})$ are not rotationally invariant

• Typical remedy: corotational formulation

 R_k

R;

 \widetilde{v}_i

 $\widetilde{x}(\widetilde{x})$

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\tau}}_{k} = \boldsymbol{s}_{\boldsymbol{k}} \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{k}} \boldsymbol{n}_{k}$$
$$= \left[\frac{2(1-\nu)}{1-2\nu} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{\boldsymbol{k}} + \frac{2\nu(d-1)}{1-2\nu} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right] \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{k}} \boldsymbol{n}_{k}$$

 $\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}) = \left(\sum_{i} R_{i} T_{i}(\mathbf{x}) R_{i}^{t}\right)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i} R_{i} T_{i}(\mathbf{x}) R_{i}^{t} \widetilde{\mathbf{v}}_{i} + \sum_{k} R_{k} K_{k}(\mathbf{x}) R_{k}^{t} \widetilde{\mathbf{\tau}}_{k}\right]$

Estimate $\{R_k, \lambda_k, \eta_k\}$ for each boundary facet





GLOBAL VS. LOCAL ROTATION & TANGENT STRETCHES







Rest pose



Global variant



GLOBAL VS. LOCAL ROTATION & TANGENT STRETCHES







Rest pose







Local variant

 R_k and λ_k are decuded on per facet basis



GLOBAL VS. LOCAL ROTATION & TANGENT STRETCHES





Rest pose



Global variant







In between

blend global and local R_k , λ_k



Local variant

 R_k and λ_k are decuded on per facet basis



CURVATURE-BASED NORMAL STRETCHES

- Normal stretching factor η_k for each cage facet
 - No information about out-of-plane deformation
 - E.g., account for curvature change for local bulging

 $\eta_k = \lambda_k \exp(\gamma \beta_k / (2^{d-1}\pi))$

- Compute on-the-fly

Small γ

Large γ



CURVATURE-BASED NORMAL STRETCHES



- Normal stretching factor η_k for each cage facet
 - No information about out-of-plane deformation
 - E.g., account for curvature change for local bulging
 - $\eta_k = \lambda_k \exp(\gamma \beta_k / (2^{d-1}\pi))$
 - Compute on-the-fly





CURVATURE-BASED NORMAL STRETCHES



- Normal stretching factor η_k for each cage facet
 - No information about out-of-plane deformation
 - E.g., account for curvature change for local bulging
 - $\eta_k = \lambda_k \exp(\gamma \beta_k / (2^{d-1}\pi))$
 - Compute on-the-fly
- Our choice of R_j , λ_j , η_j keeps the deformation invariant under similarity transformations

 $\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}(sR\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{t}) = sR\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{t}$





• SC is equivalent to GC in 2D, for $\nu = \infty$, and $\gamma = 0$





٠

Expressed in complex numbers

$$\begin{split} & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{L_e} \frac{r_1 n_1 + r_2 n_2 + i(r_1 n_2 - r_2 n_1)}{r^* r} \widetilde{y} \, \mathrm{d}\sigma y \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{L_e} \frac{(r_1 - ir_2)(n_1 + in_2)}{r^* r} \widetilde{y} \, \mathrm{d}\sigma y \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{L_e} \frac{r^* n}{r^* r} \widetilde{y} \, \mathrm{d}\sigma y = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{L_e} \frac{\widetilde{y}}{r} i \cdot n \, \mathrm{d}\sigma y \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \frac{\widetilde{y}}{r} \, \mathrm{d}y, \end{split}$$

Through Cauchy integral formula

$$g_{s,f}(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} C_j(z) f_j$$

$$C_j(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\frac{B_{j+1}(z)}{A_{j+1}} \log \left(\frac{B_{j+1}(z)}{B_j(z)} \right) - \frac{B_{j-1}(z)}{A_j} \log \left(\frac{B_j(z)}{B_{j-1}(z)} \right) \right)$$
Cauchy-Green complex barycentric coordinates

Theorem 3: Lipman's 2D Green coordinates [LLCO08] are identical to discrete Cauchy coordinates.

[Weber et at. 2009]

RELATION TO GREEN'S COORDINATES

SC is equivalent to GC in 2D, for $\nu = \infty$, and $\gamma = 0$







$$K_k(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int_{\Delta_k} \mathcal{K}(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x}) d\sigma_{\boldsymbol{y}} = 2|\Delta_k| \int_0^1 d\alpha \int_0^{1-\alpha} d\beta \, \mathcal{K}(\boldsymbol{y}(\alpha, \beta), \boldsymbol{x})$$

$$K_{k}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int_{\Delta_{k}} \mathcal{K}(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x}) d\sigma_{\boldsymbol{y}} = 2|\Delta_{k}| \int_{0}^{1} d\alpha \int_{0}^{1-\alpha} d\beta \mathcal{K}(\boldsymbol{y}(\alpha, \beta), \boldsymbol{x})$$

$$\sum_{\{(\alpha_{j}, \beta_{j}), w_{j}\}} Z|\Delta_{k}| \sum_{j} w_{j} \left(\frac{a-b}{r(\alpha_{j}, \beta_{j})}I + \frac{b}{r^{3}(\alpha_{j}, \beta_{j})}r(\alpha_{j}, \beta_{j})r^{t}(\alpha_{j}, \beta_{j})\right)$$



IMPLEMENTATION \rightarrow



- #query points: 39k •
- #quadratures per face: **7500** •
- #cage faces: 184

$$2|\Delta_k|\sum_j w_j\left(\frac{a-b}{r(\alpha_j,\beta_j)}\boldsymbol{I} + \frac{b}{r^3(\alpha_j,\beta_j)}\boldsymbol{r}(\alpha_j,\beta_j)\boldsymbol{r}^t(\alpha_j,\beta_j)\right)$$



 $\{(\alpha_j,\beta_j),w_j\}$



Coord. computation time: 1 50



THE PREMIER CONFERENCE & EXHIBITION ON COMPUTER GRAPHICS & INTERACTIVE TECHNIQUES



RESULTS

VOLUME PRESERVING VS. LOCAL BULGING













































- Cage triangulation may break the symmetry
 - Compute SC on quad meshes
 - Adopt other bulging factors less sensitive to the triangulation



FUTURE WORKS

 \rightarrow

- Cage triangulation may break the symmetry
 - Compute SC on quad meshes
 - Adopt other bulging factors less sensitive to the triangulation
- Accelerate SC computations
 - Adaptive quadrature rules for far- and near-field evaluations
 - Derive closed-form expressions





FUTURE WORKS

 \rightarrow

- Cage triangulation may break the symmetry
 - Compute SC on quad meshes
 - Adopt other bulging factors less sensitive to the triangulation
- Accelerate SC computations
 - Adaptive quadrature rules for far- and near-field evaluations
 - Derive closed-form expressions
- Space-time cages for real-time animation editing







