



MULTISCALE CHOLESKY PRECONDITIONING FOR ILL-CONDITIONED SYSTEMS

JIONG CHEN, ZJU/INRIA FLORIAN SCHÄFER, CALTECH JIN HUANG, ZJU MATHIEU DESBRUN, INRIA/X/CALTECH





• Many applications boil down to solving linear equations



parameterization







[Mullen et al. 2008]

→ PROBLEM & SOLUTION

- Ill-conditioned problems
 - source: irregular sampling, bad elements, heterogeneous materials
 - result: signals containing very different scales
- Basic methodology: multiscale decomposition
 - decompose the whole problem into multiple scales
 - treat each scale efficiently to avoid wasteful & redundant computations
- How it works:
 - treat scales back and forth
 - to decrease the error of different frequencies





→ EXAMPLE I: MULTIGRID APPROACH



- Coarsening and scale communications via
 - sparse restriction and prolongation
- Geometric & algebraic variant
 - building **P**: mesh interpolation or graph simplification
- Efficient for particular matrices

Cheap per-iteration cost, but slow convergence.



→ EXAMPLE II: MULTILEVEL APPROACH



• Operator-adapted wavelets [Owhadi 2017; Budninskiy 2019; Chen 2019]

Multilevel decomposition



Multilevel solve

$$\boxed{\mathbf{A}^q u^q = g^q}$$

Independent solves across levels

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{B}^k \mathbb{w}^k = \mathbb{W}^k \mathbb{g}^{k+1} \text{ for } q-1 \ge k \ge 1 \\ & \mathbb{A}^1 \mathbb{v}^1 = \mathbb{g}^1 \end{aligned}$$

Assemble all-level solutions

$$\left(u^{q} = \Phi^{1,T} \mathbb{V}^{1} + \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} \mathbb{W}^{k,T} \mathbb{W}^{k} \right)$$

→ EXAMPLE II: MULTILEVEL APPROACH







Great convergence, but very high computational cost.

→ EXAMPLE II: MULTILEVEL APPROACH



Closed-form solution of restriction operator [Chen 2019]

$$\mathbb{C}^{k} = \mathbf{C}^{k,\dagger} \Big[\mathbb{I}_{3n_{k+1} \times 3n_{k+1}} - \mathbb{A}^{k+1} \mathbf{W}^{k,T} \left(\mathbb{B}^{k} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{W}^{k} \Big],$$

- this operator will be denser on coarse levels
- slow down matrix factorization & multiplication



Operator-adapted hierarchy

- Our idea
 - for inhomogeneous systems, the OA wavelet approach is great but expensive
 - so we need to trade convergence for an acceleration of each iteration to obtain a fast solver

Need a brand new computational tool!





- Re-express [Chen 2019] construction by Cholesky decomposition based on [Schäfer et al. 2021]
- Leverage incomplete factorization for preconditioning inhomogeneous systems
 - exploit multiscale ordering and sparsity pattern to make tradeoffs
- Introduce efficient implementation
 - parallelization, supernodes...
- Outcome
 - a multiscale preconditioner for large scale heterogeneous linear systems.
 - filling a gap in the arsenal of linear solvers



OUR METHOD



THE PREMIER **CONFERENCE & EXHIBITION** IN COMPUTER GRAPHICS & INTERACTIVE TECHNIQUES

→ INPUT AND PIPELINE

- Input of our algorithm
 - mesh for problem discretization
 - SPD matrix discretizing a differential operator
- Pipeline of our method

SIGGRAPH 2021 $\mathbf{r}_0 := \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_0$ $\mathbf{z}_0 := \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{r}_0$ $\mathbf{p}_0 := \mathbf{z}_0$ k := 0repeat $\frac{\mathbf{r}_k^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{z}_k}{\mathbf{p}_k^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{p}_k}$ $\alpha_k :=$ $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} := \mathbf{x}_k + \alpha_k \mathbf{p}_k$ $\mathbf{r}_{k+1} := \mathbf{r}_k - lpha_k \mathbf{A} \mathbf{p}_k$ if r_{k+1} is sufficiently small then exit loop end if $\mathbf{z}_{k+1} := \mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{r}_{k+1}$ $eta_k := rac{\mathbf{r}_{k+1}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{z}_{k+1}}{-\kappa}$ $\mathbf{r}_k^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{z}_k$ $\mathbf{p}_{k+1} := \mathbf{z}_{k+1} + eta_k \mathbf{p}_k$ k := k + 1end repeat

 1. Fine-tocoarse
 2. Construct
 3. Perform

 reordering
 multiscale
 incomplete Cholesky

 sparsity pattern
 factorization

→ STEP 1: FINE-TO-COARSE REORDERING



• Max-min ordering [Guinness 2018, Schäfer 2021]

$$i_k \coloneqq \underset{i \in C^q \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}\}}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} \min_{j \in \{i_1, \dots, i_{k-1}\}} \operatorname{dist}(x_i, x_j),$$

- Reverse to generate fine-to-coarse ordering
 - put the most important DoFs last





→ DISCUSSION



• Single scale *vs.* multiscale sparsity

- with comparable nnz, multiscale sparsity pattern is more accurate



- Small ρ vs. large ρ
 - the error decays rapidly as ρ increases
 - complexity of $O(\log n \exp(-C\rho))$
 - convergence vs. update speed



STEP 3: ZERO FILL-IN INCOMPLETE FACTORIZATION









Algorithm 1: Left-looking incomplete Cholesky factorization.





Operator-adapted wavelets \equiv **Schur complement** \equiv **Cholesky**

(once expressed in the right basis)

[Schäfer et al. 2021]



Material adapted-operators: $\mathbb{A}^k = \widehat{\mathbf{L}}_c \widehat{\mathbf{L}}_c^T$, $\mathbb{B}^k = \widehat{\mathbf{L}}_f \widehat{\mathbf{L}}_f^T$.



IMPLEMENTATION



THE PREMIER **CONFERENCE** & **EXHIBITION** IN COMPUTER GRAPHICS & INTERACTIVE TECHNIQUES

→ MULTITHREADING



- Level scheduling
 - eliminate independent columns in parallel
 - topological ordering based on DAG
- Multicoloring









- scalar product \rightarrow matrix product
- square root \rightarrow dense Cholesky
- division \rightarrow triangular solves

Introducing extra fill-ins : two-way vs. one-way supernodes

$$\widehat{S}_{\rho} := \{ (\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}) | \exists i \in \mathcal{I}, \exists j \in \mathcal{J} \text{ and } (i, j) \in S_{\rho} \}$$





Algorithm 1: Left-looking incomplete Cholesky factorization.



→ BREAKDOWNS FIXING



- Global fixing strategy [Scott and Tuma 2014]
 - M-matrix will always success
 - $A \leftarrow A + \alpha$ Id (trial and error)
 - slowed-down convergence
- Partial fixing strategy
 - negative pivot appears because of error accumulation along elimination path
 - change only relevant diagonal elements



→ SCALABILITY OF FACTORIZATION



• Theoretical complexity of numerical factorization $O(n \rho^{2d})$ [Schäfer et al. 2021]









THE PREMIER **CONFERENCE & EXHIBITION** IN COMPUTER GRAPHICS & INTERACTIVE TECHNIQUES

→ COMPARISONS WITH CHOLMOD



Sparse complete Cholesky

	time	memory
2D	$O(n^{3/2})$	$O(n \log n)$
3D	$O(n^2)$	$O(n^{4/3})$

- Our incomplete Cholesky
 - time: $O(n \rho^{2d})$
 - storage: $O(n \rho^d)$



→ COMPARISONS WITH [KRISHNAN 2013]





Multigrid preconditioner

- For matrices with non-positive off diagonal entries
 - $\kappa(P^{-1}A) \leq 3$
 - efficient for Laplacian matrices on regular grids
- For other matrices
 - drops positive off-diagonal entries
 - fails to converge

→ COMPARISONS WITH AMG LIBRARIES



Settings

- Trilinos [Trilinos 2020]
 - smoothed aggregation + symmetric Gauss-Seidel for relaxation
- AMGCL [Demidov 2019]
 - Ruge-Stuben + sparse inverse approximation for relaxation
- # Pre- and post-relaxation = 1
 - more relaxations do not pay off
- Tolerance

• we ask for
$$\frac{||Ax-b||}{||b||} < 10^{-12}$$

→ COMPARISONS WITH AMG LIBRARIES







⊖→ PRECOMPUTATION

- construction of sparsity pattern
- aggregation and coloring of the supernodes
- level scheduling













• Fast construction of material-adapted basis functions and wavelets



Useful for modal reduction









Edge-preserving multiscale decomposition

→ LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE WORKS



- Problem-adapted sparsity pattern
 - e.g., specify l_i according to Green's function
- High-order wavelet transformation

ρ	#iter (bilinear)	#iter (lazy)
2.0	184	433
2.5	135	278
3.0	85	134
3.5	80	117
4.0	67	72

 ℓ_i $dist(x_i, x_j)$

- Breakdowns
 - avoid all breakdowns?
- Non-symmetric problems







THE PREMIER **CONFERENCE & EXHIBITION** IN COMPUTER GRAPHICS & INTERACTIVE TECHNIQUES